

Bathurst Regional Council - Planning Proposal - Amendment 10 - Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage Amendment					
Proposal Title :	Bathurst Regional Council - Planning Proposal - Amendment 10 - Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage Amendment				
Proposal Summary :	Bathurst Regional Council is seeking to amend the Bathurst Regional LEP 2014 by updating and adding new local items to Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage.				
PP Number	PP_2016_BATHU_003_00	Dop File No	10/08635		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	23-Jun-2016	LGA covered :	Bathurst Regional		
Region :	Western	RPA :	Bathurst Regional Council		
State Electorate :	BATHURST	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
Location Details					
Street : Var	rious				
Suburb :	City :	Bathurst	Postcode : 2795		
Land Parcel : Var	ious				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Megan Jones				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	megan.jones@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Ashlee Cutter				
Contact Number :	0263336130				
Contact Email :	council@bathurst.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw.g	ov.au			
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

Heritage Amendment			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	There have been no known mee	tings with registered lobby	/ists.
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The Bathurst Regional Heritage proposing to be inserted into th a dwelling house, moveable gar heritage listed agricultural resea	e Schedule. The items inc htry crane and to increase t	lude various natural landscapes,
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective is to update an Heritage.	d insert new local items in	to Schedule 5 Environmental
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	An LEP may be amended via Gateway. A planning propos Schedule 5 as per Council's o	al is the appropriate mech	posal for assessment by the anism to effect the changes to
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	gy been agreed to by the Director (General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions ider	tified by RPA : 2.3	Heritage Conservation	
* May need the Director	r General's agreement		
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required? No		
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Order 200	6 : Yes	
d) Which SEDDs have t	the BBA identified?		

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A If No, explain : The planning proposal is consistent with all SEPPs and s117 Directions. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes 28 days is proposed. This is supported. Comment : **Additional Director General's requirements** Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : The proposal is adequate to proceed to community consultation, subject to consulting with OEH regarding appropriate descriptions for certain heritage items. **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : November 2014 Comments in relation Bathurst LEP was notified on 19 November 2014. to Principal LEP : **Assessment Criteria** Need for planning Council is seeking to amend the LEP by inserting new items and updating existing items proposal : listed in Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage. A planning proposal is the appropriate mechanism for this amendment to be made. The planning proposal is consistent with the relevant local and regional strategic planning Consistency with framework as it aims to protect items of local environmental heritage by inclusion into strategic planning framework : Schedule 5. These items were identified in the Bathurst Regional Heritage Study 2007. Environmental social Council considers there are no negative social, environmental or economic impacts economic impacts : resulting from this planning proposal. There is a general social benefit resulting from the preservation of local heritage.

Assessment Proces	SS				
Proposal type :	Consistent		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Other				
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons	:				20
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required.				
If Other, provide reaso	ns :				
Council needs to cont the heritage items is o			t and Heritage to ensure tha rements of OEH.	t the proposed des	criptions of
Council has indicated - John Holland Rail - Crown Lands. This is supported.	it will consult with:				
	nsultations, if required				
No internal consultati					
Is the provision and fu	nding of state infrastruc	ture relevant	t to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
Documents					
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
Bathurst Initial Reque	Bathurst Initial Request for Gateway Heritage Items.pdf				No
Planning Team Recor	nmendation				
Preparation of the plan	ning proposal supporte	ed at this stag	ge:Recommended with Cor	nditions	
S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Cons	ervation			
Additional Information 1. 1. Prior to commencing community consultation, Council is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) regarding the appropriate method to describe items that are difficult to identify and amend Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage in line with any recommendations from OEH. Council is to notify the Department that it has met this condition prior to commencing community consultation.			cribe items line with		

Heritage Amendment	
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) as follows:
	a) The planning proposal is required to be made publicly available on exhibition for 28 days as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
	b) The relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs.
	3. Consultation is required with the following State Agencies under Section 56 (2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant section 117 Directions:
	(a) Office of Environment and Heritage, (b) John Holland Rail, and
	(c) Department of Primary Industries - Crown Lands
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the planning proposal prior to community consultation.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The final LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Department's "Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps" (2015).
	6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons	The planning proposal may proceed due to the following key points: - The new local heritage items identified for inclusion in the schedule have been formally identified in a heritage study commissioned by Council in 2007. - Amending the descriptions of the existing heritage items will more clearly identify the item in the Schedule. - The best method of describing the items in the schedule may be agreed with OEH prior to community consultation commencing.
Signature:	Mps.
Printed Name:	Megan Tone) may Klor/16

Printed Name:

inted Name: <u>Megan Jone</u>) Date: Endonsed Myamary 15/07/16 A DR,W

-

16.